should say, he should not be adjudged guilty or shall be adjudged guilty. It leaves me up in the air. It may be in order, but I am confused by it.

THE CHAIRMAN: The point may be well taken, although it is not an objection to the amendment.

Delegate Henderson, did you follow the point made by Delegate Johnson?

DELEGATE HENDERSON: Mr. Chairman, it seems to me perhaps we could simplify this if we leave the word "twelve" in the amendment at this time and modify my amendment by striking out, not less than six, leaving in, upon a verdict of not less than five-sixths as prescribed by law.

Five-sixths of twelve would be the ten out of the twelve who could give the verdict, so it seems to me that that would be sufficient for my part.

THE CHAIRMAN: That would not meet Delegate
Johnson's point.

Delegate Johnson's point is that the section, the last clause of the section, with your amendment in either its present or modified form, would read as follows in the present form, and to have a speedy and public trial